

**REMARKS**

The Applicant appreciates the courteous and complete examination of the application by the Examiner. In view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks, a reconsideration of the instant application is respectfully requested.

In order to expedite the prosecution of this application, claims 1-3 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter thereof. Claims 4-23 have been added to more completely cover certain aspects of the Applicant's invention. Claims 4-23 are now in this application.

**Regarding the Claim Objections**

The Examiner objected to claims 1-3 for informalities. Claims 1-3 have been cancelled.

**Regarding the Claim 112 Rejections**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claims 1-3 have been cancelled.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-3 have been cancelled.

**Regarding the Claim 103 Rejections**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nose et al. in view of Flores et al. and Peltz. Claims 1-3 have been cancelled.

**Regarding the New Claims**

The Applicant respectfully submits new claims 4-23 which are believed to be patentably distinct from the prior art relied upon by the Examiner. Support for the new claims are found in paragraphs 0026, 0029, 0033, 0036, 0046, 0052, 0057 and 0058 of the present application.

Independent claims 4 and 15 have been added to more clearly describe the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention. The Nose et al., Flores et al., and Peltz references do not disclose, teach or suggest the use of two cameras in combination with a plurality of monitors covering the walls and ceiling of the room. Support of these claimed limitations is found in paragraphs 0023 and 0026 of the present application. The Examiner stated that the Peltz reference discloses a "room with multimedia equipment includes cameras (15, 27)". The Peltz reference does not disclose the use of two separate and independent cameras one of which is dedicated for recording into the program of the central unit and the other is dedicated for monitoring of the room.

Although the present communication may include alterations to the application or claims, or characterizations of claim scope or referenced art, the Applicant is not conceding in this application that previously pending claims are not patentable over the cited references. Rather, any alterations or characterizations are being made to facilitate expeditious prosecution of this application. The Applicant reserve the right to pursue at a later date any previously pending or other broader or narrower claims that capture any subject matter supported by the present disclosure, including subject matter found to be specifically disclaimed herein or by any prior prosecution. Accordingly, reviewers of this or any parent, child or related prosecution history shall not reasonably infer that the Applicant has made any disclaimers or disavowals of any subject matter supported by the present application.

Applicant has endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the Office Action. Accordingly, amendments to the claims, the reasons therefor, and arguments in support of patentability of the pending claim set are presented above. Any claim amendments which are not specifically discussed in the above-remarks are made in order to improve the clarity of claim language, to correct grammatical mistakes or ambiguities, and to otherwise improve the clarity of the claims to particularly and distinctly point out the invention to those of skill in the art. Finally, Applicant submits that the claim limitations above represent only illustrative distinctions.

Hence, there may be other patentable features that distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

With the above amendments being fully responsive to all outstanding rejections and formal requirements, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance, and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner feel that there are further issues which might be resolved by means of telephone interview, the Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (403) 444-5695, or email at [davidguerra@internationalpatentgroup.com](mailto:davidguerra@internationalpatentgroup.com)

No additional fee is due.

Respectfully Submitted,

/David A. Guerra/

David A. Guerra  
Registration No.: 46,443  
Customer No.: 29,689

#### **CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO, electronically transmitted using EFS-Web, or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

On (Date) 05/16/2008 by David A. Guerra /David A. Guerra/